

Date Completed	Do you support the proposal to redevelop the land at the old swimming pool site at King Georges Field, Waltham Abbey?	Comments
13/12/2018 15:58		<p>Thank you for notifying us about the proposal for the new housing to be considered for the space that housed the Waltham Abbey Swimming Pool, firstly we feel strongly that this letter should have been sent to us before the swimming pool was closed. We are pleased that it is still public knowledge that the land is protected under King George V Trust which was to give the public outside/community space for the enjoyment of all. We have been more and more squeezed in by housing on Roundhills with the closure of the Red Cross Hall (yet another community space) and demolishing garages.</p> <p>Taking everything into consideration detailed in your letter we feel that moving the proposed space to Hill House (which we also understand there is opposition from the residents there for losing the football fields) is to the detriment of the residents of Roundhills. Our current and future generation need outside and community space on Roundhills, as we all like to know where our children are. Having them have to make the journey across a busy road into another housing estate which has its own anti social behavioural problems is not the answer. The space where the swimming pool once was should be for the residents of Roundhills and their children to have some community space.</p>
10/12/2018 17:23	no	<p>So therefore, we oppose the erection of further housing also taking into consideration the lack of infrastructure for this. However, I am concerend by the potential increase in traffic especially at the already congested Honey Lane entrance to Roundhills.</p>
09/12/2018 14:51	yes	<p>The land should be used as playing fields for the families living in Roundhills and surrounding estates. In addition access to Roundhills for cars is an issue due to limited access points. Adding more housing without resolving access issues would cause additional traffic issues.</p>
08/12/2018 21:14	no	<p>Not as a redevelopment for houses. There are far too many cars on Roundhills now. Even driving safely on the estate is a problem because of people parking in places they shouldnâ€™t such as on corners. People are even parking cars on the green common areas in front of the houses. You are turning Roundhills estate and Rochford Avenue into a huge car park and spoiling what was once a nice estate. It canâ€™t take any more houses or cars! Maybe Eleanor Laing should come take a look before someone gets run over. Itâ€™s a world away from the uncluttered roads sheâ€™s used to in leafy upshire.</p>
05/12/2018 21:17	no	<p>No enough access as it is and we have enough over crowding on this road already.</p>
05/12/2018 19:53	yes	<p>Make sure there is enough parking</p>
05/12/2018 16:31	no	<p>I do not support the proposed plan for the Roundhills swimming pool site. The new housing should be on the larger site adjoining the new leisure centre, as the new residents would be further away from the noise and air pollution from the M25 motorway, and closer to the leisure centre facilities. It is difficult to support or not support a redevelopment without knowing some of the high level details. Is the proposal to built houses or flats? If flats, how many floors? Will there be allocated parking for new residents? Will the houses or flats overlook existing homes that surround the field?</p>
05/12/2018 15:59	no	<p>One wonders if the feedback from residents will actually be considered as this survey contains only one question.</p>
05/12/2018 15:49	no	<p>As much as social housing is required, any residential development will overlook homes that border King Georges Field. It is regretful that the swimming pool had to be relocated as Roundhills benefited from having a leisure center.</p>

Date Completed	Do you support the proposal to redevelop the land at the old swimming pool site at King Georges Field, Waltham Abbey?	Comments
05/12/2018 08:44	yes	This redevelopment provides much needed housing through the use of already developed land (brownfield site) that does not decrease the 'green' environment that the King Georges Field provides. The development should be sympathetic to the environment with sufficient parking and gardens such that there would be no expectation from future residents that the fields provide their only 'play area'. The former swimming pool site also provides recycling amenities that should be replaced somewhere else on the estate. Whilst most items are now part of the council collection, clothing and shoes are not and the ability to recycle these without travelling any significant distances needs to be retained.
05/12/2018 08:33	no	Roundhills Estate is already a very populated area especially with the recent properties being built and this has added to everyday traffic we are now seeing. Adding further properties will only increase this and with the amount of children and elderly people living here it is getting increasingly more dangerous with people parking on the verges etc making it more difficult to cross the road and also speeding is getting to be a problem. Also the swimming pool is used by adults and children alike and there is nowhere nearby for people to go and is a good form of exercise and fitness.
04/12/2018 22:21	yes	Great idea ! It's ridiculous that you think the new location is better than the old one. I'm sure you could have refurbished the old place, I been going there for the last 20 years and never seen a penny spent on the place. So the idea was to run it down and then justify the building of new houses. Don't you think there's enough in houses being built in Waltham Abbey. Slowly eroding the green belt. Well done.
04/12/2018 20:47	no	In principle i am happy for the developement, although i live very close and am worried about traffic on badly maintained Roundhills road. Also as a resident over 20 years i am more concerned you will then steal the fields behind the propesd area, loved and used by dog walkers, families and football teams alike.
04/12/2018 18:54	yes	Due to M11 being at the rear the development must have a buffer section of native trees to help combat emissions from motorway plus reduce noise . Additionally a community orchard should be considered as part of the tree planting a levy of ten trees per new residence should be provided by developers Trees to be decided by consultation with natural England and countryside care roads should be wide enough and front gardens deep enough for two parking spaces per property as a minimum
04/12/2018 11:19	yes	The filed where the new leisre centre is is big enough as a replacement. The old site could be used to build a new independent living scheme
04/12/2018 10:28	yes	I would like to see the inclusion of a community facility on the site e.g. Community Hall
04/12/2018 10:22	yes	The Roundhills housing estate and surrounding residents already suffers with constant water mains issues (bursting , etc.) further housing will only add pressure to a failing water mains system.
03/12/2018 13:46	no	The existing road network is narrow and poorly maintained to add even further traffic to it. Crime and antisocial behaviour in the area is already high and no doubt will only become worst by increasing housing in a populated area and also with social housing that you doubt will be providing.
29/11/2018 21:11	no	I strongly object to this plan, as an elderly disabled resident of Caldbeck for 11yrs The idea that a new housing development right on my back door is frightening, we live here because of the quietness of the area, I and many residents whom young and elderly walk our dogs and enjoy the fields behind us and community spirit it brings , as well as the wildlife that inhabits the fields. I am also disappointed in the manner Epping Council have gone about the closure of the pool, leaving us with no access or making provisions to obtain recycle bags which we collected from the pool, and also the use of the recycle bins that were their, the nearest point is now in the town centre it feels now like the area is forgotten and just a place to build on and forget....

Date Completed	Do you support the proposal to redevelop the land at the old swimming pool site at King Georges Field, Waltham Abbey?	Comments
29/11/2018 10:15	yes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The height of any development should be no higher than the current swimming pool on the current building imprint. 2. Anyother development should only be a two storey domestic development. 3. Development should not be so intense as to have an impact on the road traffic in Roundhills. All impact assessments to be published prior to planning permission being granted and alternative access to the development thought through and published. 4. None of the exsisting trees should be removed.
29/11/2018 05:39	no	<p>the land was for recreational use and should remain so</p> <p>Traffic is already bad in the area surely adding more properties to this will make the situation much worse than it already is.</p>
28/11/2018 14:17	no	<p>Because Roundhills is overcrowded now with people and vehicles, since they have taken away the garages for other houses, and also every house has at least one or two cars.</p>
27/11/2018 21:29	no	<p>Full development of this site will close all access to the remainder of King George V Field at Waltham Abbey. It will be land locking the site, so it cannot be used for its purpose as a recreation playing field, and it will not be maintained through lack of access.</p>
27/11/2018 16:12	no	<p>The outline now claims part of the KGPF and can be seen as the triangle that adjoins the M25 motorway embankment. This is not part of the existing site or building as it stands. Taking this part of land would also restrict access down through the fields and consequently the football club that allows access through its ground to the public. Also I am concerned what will happen to the gates bearing the KG arms as they were originally moved there in 1967 from Lodge Lane when the swimming pool was built. I feel the land should be returned to recreational use. More housing would lead to more traffic in an already congested Roundhills area.</p>
27/11/2018 14:41	no	<p>I know we desperately need more housing but the site should definitely, only be for people living in the epping forest district area, not from outside.</p>
27/11/2018 11:44	yes	<p>Although I support redevelopment for much needed housing as I live on Roundhills I am concerned about noise levels pollution of air due to demolition of pool and asbestos and dust which I am sure is in the pool somewhere. Also the potholes in the road are already appalling and with all the heavy lorries on the estate will make the traffic and potholes much worse also what about the lovely old gates dedicated to The King George Playing Fields with the writing in the gates how can you move that to Ninefields? I hope I will get an answer to my questions.</p>
26/11/2018 15:43	yes	<p>I do not support the proposal 1). Because the sewage system can't cope as it is on Roundhills and 2). If the King George Field was dedicated to the memory of King George V for the use and enjoyment of the people then it should stay that way. Our swimming pool has been moved elsewhere and now you want to take the leasure space away from the people of Roundhills too.</p>
26/11/2018 15:39	no	<p>Why not build the houses on the land you have next to the new leasure centre. As its 20% bigger you could fit more house on it.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Currently we are already struggling with traffic overcrowding of vehicles in roundhills. 2.There is also only a limited amount of space for people to enjoy the little bit of nature we have in roundhills without having to go all the way over to ninefields to go for a walk. 3. That piece of ground that served as the pool would be much better used as open green land or off-street parking for existing people blocking the round around the area. 4. What happened to the last housing that was meant to be setup behind the shops, that is now private and who is to say the same thing wont happen to this proposed idea.
26/11/2018 10:10	no	<p>4. What happened to the last housing that was meant to be setup behind the shops, that is now private and who is to say the same thing wont happen to this proposed idea.</p>

Date Completed	Do you support the proposal to redevelop the land at the old swimming pool site at King Georges Field, Waltham Abbey?	Comments
26/11/2018 08:16	no	Extra housing has been created within the Roundhills estate but no real attempts to provide the parking area that is required. Parking is already an issue within the estate, with cars and vans parking on pavements and across crossing points on the roads. If this redevelopment happens then space is going to be even worse and it is already approaching dangerous levels with many of the main roads being almost one way due to the parked cars. In addition we have no areas on the estate for children to play ball games and let off steam, the fields would be better served as playing fields once more for the benefit of the whole of the estate community. Recycling facilities and the car park could also remain as these are more used than the pool was.
24/11/2018 09:22	no	Difficult to say without more information. E.G How many dwellings are being proposed . Will there be additional accesses on the estate .When will more info be made available?
24/11/2018 08:47	yes	Only low rise housing, maximum of 3 storeys but 2 preferred. Mix of private and affordable housing. Not too close to 100 Roundhills. The access to the new houses will still be through the old swimming pool entrance that will and will cause more traffic.why didn't you knock the old pool down and rebuild the new one on the same site.I thought that the king George's fieldswas for the use and enjoyment of the people and no for the financial gain of a few people.I live in Greenleas opposite the entrance the parking is bad enough already since you demolished the garages and built the new council houses which do not blend in with the existing properties.the infrastructure on this estate is not big enough for more houses.it seems to me that Waltham abbey town council is spending more money on ninefields and letting round hills go to rack and ruin.
23/11/2018 19:46	no	this estate is getting too big now there are plenty of other areas that could be used it was a waste of tax payers money pulling down a swimming only to put it some where else. you have already pulled down garages to put houses on in this area find somewhere else.
23/11/2018 16:48	no	The roads are already congested and parking is a problem on the estate. People are parking on the footpaths and the grass verges already.
23/11/2018 16:17	no	Over the past couple of years we have lost space on Roundhills for housing, this development will add to the traffic and noise of this estate. The space should be put back as the playing field for the residents to enjoy as the proposed space at Hill House is too far for children to go to play.
23/11/2018 12:27	no	The new housing on Roundhills has new lights, decent paths and paving where the residents that have lived here for years have nothing new with regards to lights and paths the money would be better spent bringing Roundhills back to how it was.